FILED
2017 JUN 16 AM 8:09
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION

JOHN ANTHONY GENTRY, sui juris/pro se	)	
	)	
Plaintiff	)	
	)	**CASE NO. 3:17-0020**
vs.	)	
	)	
THE STATE OF TENNESSEE;	)	
PAMELA ANDERSON TAYLOR;	)	
BRENTON HALL LANKFORD;	)	**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED(12)**
SARAH RICHTER PERKY;	)	
UNNAMED LIABILITY INSURANCE	)	
CARRIER(S); Et al	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

NOTICE OF INTENT TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT PERKY'S "RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT *OPPOSING* PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SET EVIDENTIARY HEARING OR OTHER APPROPRIATE HEARING" AND REQUEST FOR GUIDANCE

Plaintiff respectfully hereby gives notice of his intent to respond to Defendant SARAH RICHTER PERKY'S "Response of Defendant *Opposing* Plaintiff's Motion To Set Evidentiary Hearing Or Other Appropriate Hearing" (Docket Entry 77).

Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court to delay entering a judgement on his Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing (Docket Entry 73) until Plaintiff has had an opportunity to respond to Defendant's opposing response (Docket Entry 77). Defendant SARAH RICHTER PERKY filed her opposing response (Docket Entry 77) on June 15, 2017. Plaintiff only asks for four (4) days to respond and will file a response on June 19, 2017. Plaintiff should be allowed to respond so as to ensure both parties' arguments are fairly heard.

On Plaintiff's previous Motion for Court Review (Docket Entry 56), Defendant's PERKY, TAYLOR, and LANKFORD opposed Plaintiff's Motion for Court Review on May 11, 2017 and May 15, 2017 (Docket Entries 58 and 59) respectively. This Honorable Court entered its decision on May 15, 2017 and Plaintiff was not provided adequate time to respond.

Plaintiff only seeks a fair opportunity to present his arguments of law and supporting authorities so as to ensure a fair and just decision is rendered by this Honorable Court. Since Plaintiff only seeks until June 19, 2017 and just four (4) days, this matter should be nunc pro tunc.

Due to the fact, that this Honorable Court ruled so quickly as indicated above, Plaintiff requests guidance from the Court about how long Plaintiff has to respond to Defendants' objections/responses before the Court issues orders. Plaintiff begs the Court's understanding of the fact that Plaintiff is Pro Se and does not understand the inner workings of the judicial process. Plaintiff assures the Court he has no desire to burden the Court or the clerk's office with these Notices, and only seeks to ensure all arguments and facts are considered prior to issuance of rulings. Plaintiff respectfully seeks this Honorable Court's guidance in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

John A Gentry, CPA, Pro Se
208 Navajo Court,
Goodlettsville, TN 37072
(615) 351-2649
john.a.gentry@comcast.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via email and US Mail to;

Stephanie A. Bergmeyer
Office of the Atty. Gen. and Reporter
P.O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37202-0207
Stephanie.bertmeyer@ag.tn.gov

Lauren Paxton Roberts
Erika R. Barnes
Stites & Harbison
401 Commerce Street, Suite 800
Nashville, TN 37219
lauren.roberts@stites.com

William S. Walton
Butler Snow, LLP
The Pinnacle at Symphony Place
150 Third Avenue South
Nashville, TN 37201
bill.walton@butlersnow.com

On this the 16th day of June, 2017

John Anthony Gentry, CPA